



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,947	10/30/2003	Kasi Viswanathan Agilandam	16IN-M0270	9070

7590 10/25/2005

Patrick W. Rasche  
Armstrong Teasdale LLP  
Suite 2600  
One Metropolitan Square  
St. Louis, MO 63102

EXAMINER

FETZNER, TIFFANY A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2859

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

18

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,947	AGILANDAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 23 September 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☒ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.


d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

*The rule 1.312 amendment is timely filed, and is free of new matter. It has been marked for entry by the examiner as the amendments to claims 5, 6, and 11 correct formal matters without changing the scope of the claims.*

*Figures 1 and 3 submitted September 23<sup>d</sup> 2005 are acceptable to the examiner as formal drawings. Figures 2a, 2b, and 4 from the drawing submission of June 7<sup>th</sup> 2005 are also acceptable to the examiner as formal drawings.*

*The official drafts person has accepted figure 1 of September 23<sup>d</sup> 2005; figures 2a, 2b of June 7<sup>th</sup> 2005; figure 3 of September 23<sup>d</sup> 2005; and figure 4 of the June 7<sup>th</sup> 2005 drawing submission as applicant's formal drawings. See the attached PTO 948 drawing review form.*

  
**Diego Gutierrez**  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800